

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
NORTHERN DIVISION

DAUOD BOONE, AIS # 276751,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	CIVIL ACT. NO.2:15-cv-556-ECM
)	(WO)
CHERYL PRICE, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Respondents.)	

ORDER

Now pending before the Court is Petitioner Daoud Boone's *pro se* motion for a certificate of appealability (doc. 46). The motion is due to be denied.

To mandate the issuance of a certificate of appealability, a petitioner must make a "substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); *see also Barefoot v. Estelle*, 463 U.S. 880, 893 (1983). Further, "[a]n appeal may not be taken *in forma pauperis* if the trial court certifies in writing that it is not taken in good faith." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). In making this determination as to good faith, the Court must use an objective standard, such as whether the appeal is "frivolous," *Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 445 (1962), or "has no substantive merit," *United States v. Bottoson*, 644 F.2d 1174, 1176 (5th Cir. Unit B May 1981) (*per curiam*).

Applying these standards, the Court finds that Boone has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. In addition, the Court is of the opinion that Boone's appeal has no legal or factual basis and, accordingly, is frivolous and not taken in good faith. *See Rudolph v. Allen*, 666 F.2d 519, 520 (11th Cir. 1982) (*per curiam*).

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that Boone's motion for a certificate of appealability (doc. 46) is
DENIED.

Done this 9th day of December, 2021.

/s/ Emily C. Marks
EMILY C. MARKS
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE